APPENDIX Q

NRCS Consultation

U.S. Department of Agriculture

FARMLAND CONVERSION IMPACT RATING

		Data Office	Deta Off and Evaluation Regularian				
PART I (To be completed by Federal Agency)		Date Of Land Evaluation Request 9/27/05					
Name Of Project North Fork Rancheria Casino and Hotel Project		Federal Agency Involved Bureau of Indian Affairs					
Proposed Land Use Casino and Hotel		County And State Madera County, California					
PART III (To be completed by NRCS)		Date Request Received By NRCS					
	r local important far	mland?		lo Acres Irrig	ated Average Fa	arm Size	
Does the site contain prime; unique, statewide of the contain prime; unique, statewide of the complete of the	A. A. A. A. A. A. B.	THE PERSON NAMED OF THE PERSON NAMED IN	X [
Major Crop(s).	Farmable Land In Gr	ovt. Slorisdictio	1 2 2 2	2000	f Farmland As De	lined in EPPA	
JULY FREES OPEN	Acres:		9/6	Acres	i Evaluation Retun	red By NRCS	
Provided Selection System Used	Name Of Local Site		ystem	John Loin	012510E		
PRIME & UNIQUE SOILS LIST	NOK		<u> Parka jaga 1932.</u>		ive Site Rating		
PART III (To be completed by Federal Agency)			Site A	Site B	Site C	Site D	
A. Total Acres To Be Converted Directly			55.8	5.3			
B. Total Acres To Be Converted Indirectly			249.2	25.3		0.0	
C. Total Acres In Site			305.0	30.7	0.0	0.0	
PART IV (To be completed by NRCS). Land Evaluation Information					vale field in	然和新生态程	
			45.8	Ø			
A Total Acres Prime And Unique Farmland B Total Acres Statewide And Local Important Farmland			18.6	The Contract of			
B: otal Acresistatewide Allo Local Impolation C: Percentage Of Farmland In County Of Local	Cout Unit To Be (onverted	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1000 年 1000			
D. Percentage Of Farmland in Govt. Jurisdiction Wit	h Same Or Higher Rel	ative Value				图 医肾上的	
Dispersentage of Familia III. Gove durisaction vic	ation Criterion			THE HIM N	0	A 0-1	
PART V (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Relative Value Of Farmland To Be Conve	rted (Scale of 0 to 1	00 Points)	· 69		PENNONSA I		
PART VI (To be completed by Federal Agency)		Maximum					
Site Assessment Criteria (These criteria are explained in	7 CFR 658.5(b)	Points					
Area In Nonurban Use		15	14				
Perimeter In Nonurban Use		1D	1 9				
Percent Of Site Being Farmed		20	10_				
Protection Provided By State And Local Government		20	0_				
Distance From Urban Builtup Area		15	0_				
6. Distance To Urban Support Services		15	10				
7. Size Of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average		10	6				
8. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland		10	0_				
Availability Of Farm Support Services		5	5				
10. On-Farm Investments		20	10	•			
11. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services		10	D				
12. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use		10	0_				
TOTAL SITE ASSESSMENT POINTS		160	74	0	o	o	
PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency)		 					
		100		0	0	0	
Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V)		 	69				
Total Site Assessment (From Part VI above or a local site assessment)		160	74	0	0	0	
TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines)		260	143	0	0	0	
Site Selected:	Date Of Selection			Was A Local Site Assessment Used? Yes No			