

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

NORTH FORK RANCHERIA HOTEL AND CASINO FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

ES.1	Introduction.....	ii
ES.2	Purpose and Need	ii
ES.3	Alternatives.....	iii
ES.4	Areas of Controversy	iv
ES.5	Environmental Consequences, Mitigation, and Significance Conclusions Summary	v

1.0 PURPOSE AND NEED

1.1	Introduction.....	1-1
1.2	Alternative Site Locations.....	1-2
	1.2.1 Madera Site	1-2
	1.2.2 North Fork Site.....	1-2
1.3	Summary of the Proposed Action and Alternatives.....	1-2
1.4	Purpose and Need	1-9
1.5	Overview of the Environmental Review Process	1-11
1.6	Regulatory Requirements, Permits, and Approvals	1-14

2.0 ALTERNATIVES

2.1	Introduction.....	2-1
2.2	Alternative A – Proposed Project	2-1
	2.2.1 Management Contract	2-2
	2.2.2 Casino.....	2-6
	2.2.3 Hotel and Spa	2-6
	2.2.4 Parking	2-7
	2.2.5 Construction and Grading	2-7
	2.2.6 Drainage	2-7
	2.2.7 Wastewater Treatment and Disposal	2-7
	2.2.8 Water Supply.....	2-17
	2.2.9 Fuel Storage.....	2-19
	2.2.10 Memoranda of Understanding.....	2-20
	2.2.11 Tribal-State Compact	2-31
2.3	Alternative B – Reduced Intensity	2-37
	2.3.1 Management Contract	2-37
	2.3.2 Casino.....	2-37
	2.3.3 Parking	2-38
	2.3.4 Construction and Grading	2-38
	2.3.5 Drainage	2-38
	2.3.6 Wastewater Treatment and Disposal	2-43

2.3.7	Water Supply.....	2-43
2.3.8	Fuel Storage.....	2-45
2.3.9	Memoranda of Understanding.....	2-45
2.3.10	Tribal-State Compact.....	2-45
2.4	Alternative C – Non-Gaming Use.....	2-45
2.4.1	Management Contract.....	2-46
2.4.2	Commercial Development.....	2-46
2.4.3	Parking.....	2-47
2.4.4	Construction and Grading.....	2-47
2.4.5	Drainage.....	2-47
2.4.6	Wastewater Treatment and Disposal.....	2-48
2.4.7	Water Supply.....	2-54
2.4.8	Fuel Storage.....	2-54
2.4.9	Memoranda of Understanding.....	2-54
2.4.10	Tribal-State Compact.....	2-54
2.5	Alternative D – North Fork Location.....	2-54
2.5.1	Management Contract.....	2-55
2.5.2	Casino.....	2-55
2.5.3	Parking.....	2-58
2.5.4	Construction and Grading.....	2-58
2.5.5	Drainage.....	2-60
2.5.6	Wastewater Treatment and Disposal.....	2-60
2.5.7	Water Supply.....	2-66
2.5.8	Fuel Storage.....	2-67
2.5.9	Memoranda of Understanding.....	2-67
2.5.10	Tribal-State Compact.....	2-67
2.6	Alternative E – No Action.....	2-67
2.7	Alternatives Eliminated From Further Consideration.....	2-68
2.7.1	Alternative Sites for Gaming.....	2-68
2.7.2	Redesign Alternative.....	2-82
2.7.3	Large Gaming/Hotel Resort on North Fork site.....	2-82
2.8	Preferred Alternative.....	2-83
3.0	AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT	
3.1	Introduction.....	3.1-1
3.2	Land Resources.....	3.2-1
3.2.1	General Issues.....	3.2-1
3.2.2	Madera Site.....	3.2-6
3.2.3	North Fork Site.....	3.2-10
3.3	Water Resources.....	3.3-1
3.3.1	Surface Water, Drainage, and Flooding.....	3.3-1
3.3.2	Groundwater.....	3.3-7
3.3.3	Water Quality.....	3.3-10
3.4	Air Quality.....	3.4-1
3.4.1	Regional Meteorology.....	3.4-1
3.4.2	Regulatory Context.....	3.4-4
3.4.3	Pollutants of Concern.....	3.4-16

	3.4.4	Existing Air Quality Data.....	3.4-26
3.5		Biological Resources	3.5-1
	3.5.1	Regional Setting	3.5-1
	3.5.2	Vegetation Communities.....	3.5-2
	3.5.3	Wildlife.....	3.5-10
	3.5.4	Special –Status Species	3.5-11
	3.5.5	Waters of the United States.....	3.5-27
3.6		Cultural and Paleontological Resources	3.6-1
	3.6.1	Setting – Madera County Region	3.6-1
	3.6.2	Regulatory Background.....	3.6-6
	3.6.3	Prehistoric and Historic Resources – Madera Site	3.6-7
	3.6.4	Paleontological Resources – Madera Site	3.6-9
	3.6.5	Prehistoric and Historic Resources – North Fork Site.....	3.6-13
	3.6.6	Paleontological Resources – North Fork Site.....	3.6-15
3.7		Socioeconomic Conditions and Environmental Justice	3.7-1
	3.7.1	Socioeconomic Character of Madera County.....	3.7-1
	3.7.2	Socioeconomic Character of the Tribe	3.7-4
	3.7.3	Tribal Attitudes, Expectations, Lifestyle and Culture	3.7-4
	3.7.4	Environmental Justice	3.7-4
3.8		Resource Use Patterns.....	3.8-1
	3.8.1	Transportation	3.8-1
	3.8.2	Land Use	3.8-25
	3.8.3	Agriculture	3.8-44
	3.8.4	Other Resource Uses	3.8-50
3.9		Public Services.....	3.9-1
	3.9.1	Water Supply.....	3.9-1
	3.9.2	Wastewater Service	3.9-2
	3.9.3	Solid Waste Service.....	3.9-6
	3.9.4	Electric, Natural Gas, and Telecommunication Services	3.9-7
	3.9.5	Public Health and Safety	3.9-8
	3.9.6	School Services	3.9-15
3.10		Other Values	3.10-1
	3.10.1	Noise.....	3.10.1
	3.10.2	Hazardous Materials.....	3.10-4
	3.10.3	Visual Resources	3.10-12
4.0		ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES	
4.1		Introduction.....	4.1-1
	4.1.1	Determination of Significance.....	4.1-1
	4.1.2	Jurisdiction and Special Expertise.....	4.1-3
4.2		Land Resources	4.2-1
	4.2.1	Alternative A – Proposed Project.....	4.2-1
	4.2.2	Alternative B – Reduced Intensity	4.2-3
	4.2.3	Alternative C – Non-Gaming	4.2-4
	4.2.4	Alternative D – North Fork Location	4.2-5
	4.2.5	Alternative E – No Action.....	4.2-6
4.3		Water Resources	4.3-1

4.3.1	Alternative A – Proposed Project.....	4.3-1
4.3.2	Alternative B – Reduced Intensity	4.3-10
4.3.3	Alternative C – Non-Gaming Use	4.3-11
4.3.4	Alternative D – North Fork Location.....	4.3-13
4.3.5	Alternative E – No Action.....	4.3-17
4.4	Air Quality	4.4-1
4.4.1	Methodology	4.4-1
4.4.2	Alternative A – Proposed Project.....	4.4-8
4.4.3	Alternative B – Reduced Intensity	4.4-15
4.4.4	Alternative C – Non-Gaming Use	4.4-18
4.4.5	Alternative D – North Fork Location.....	4.4-21
4.4.6	Alternative E – No Action.....	4.4-24
4.5	Biological Resources	4.5-1
4.5.1	Alternative A – Proposed Project.....	4.5-1
4.5.2	Alternative B – Reduced Intensity	4.5-4
4.5.3	Alternative C – Non-Gaming Use	4.5-6
4.5.4	Alternative D – North Fork Location.....	4.5-8
4.5.5	Alternative E – No Action.....	4.5-12
4.6	Cultural and Paleontological Resources	4.6-1
4.6.1	Alternative A – Proposed Project.....	4.6-1
4.6.2	Alternative B – Reduced Intensity	4.6-2
4.6.3	Alternative C – Non-Gaming Use	4.6-2
4.6.4	Alternative D – North Fork Location.....	4.6-3
4.6.5	Alternative E – No Action.....	4.6-4
4.7	Socioeconomic Conditions and Environmental Justice	4.7-1
4.7.1	Socioeconomic Conditions.....	4.7-1
4.7.2	Environmental Justice	4.7-60
4.8	Resource Use Patterns.....	4.8-1
4.8.1	Introduction	4.8-1
4.8.2	Alternative A – Proposed Project.....	4.8-14
4.8.3	Alternative B – Reduced Intensity	4.8-44
4.8.4	Alternative C – Non-Gaming	4.8-57
4.8.5	Alternative D – North Fork Site.....	4.8-69
4.8.6	Alternative E – No Action.....	4.8-76
4.9	Public Services.....	4.9-1
4.9.1	Alternative A – Proposed Project.....	4.9-1
4.9.2	Alternative B – Reduced Intensity	4.9-11
4.9.3	Alternative C – Non-Gaming	4.9-18
4.9.4	Alternative D – North Fork Site.....	4.9-25
4.9.5	Alternative E – No Action.....	4.9-31
4.10	Other Values	4.10-1
4.10.1	Alternative A – Proposed Project.....	4.10-1
4.10.2	Alternative B – Reduced Intensity	4.10-10
4.10.3	Alternative C – Non-Gaming	4.10-12
4.10.4	Alternative D – North Fork Site.....	4.10-14
4.10.5	Alternative E – No Action.....	4.10-16
4.11	Cumulative Effects.....	4.11-1

4.11.1	Introduction	4.11-1
4.11.2	Alternative A – Proposed Project	4.11-15
4.11.3	Alternative B – Reduced Intensity	4.11-47
4.11.4	Alternative C – Non-Gaming	4.11-59
4.11.5	Alternative D – North Fork Site	4.11-72
4.11.6	Alternative E – No Action	4.11-84
4.12	Indirect and Growth-Inducing Effects	4.12-1
4.12.1	Growth-Inducing Effects	4.12-1
4.12.2	Indirect Effects From Off-Site Traffic Mitigation	4.12-6
4.12.3	Indirect Effects From Off-Site Pipeline Construction	4.12-14
5.0	MITIGATION MEASURES	
5.1	Introduction	5-1
5.2	Mitigation Measures	5-1
5.2.1	Land Resources	5-1
5.2.2	Water Resources	5-2
5.2.3	Air Quality	5-10
5.2.4	Biological Resources	5-18
5.2.5	Cultural and Paleontological Resources	5-24
5.2.6	Socioeconomic Conditions	5-25
5.2.7	Resource Use Patterns	5-28
5.2.8	Public Services	5-76
5.2.9	Other Services	5-78
6.0	CONSULTATION AND COORDINATION/LIST OF PREPARERS	6-1
6.1	Lead Agency	6-1
6.2	Cooperating Agencies	6-1
6.3	Other Federal Agencies and Indian Tribes	6-2
6.4	State and Local Agencies	6-3
6.5	Private Organizations and Utilities	6-4
6.6	Environmental Consultants	6-4
7.0	ACRONYMS	7-1
8.0	REFERENCES	8-1

LIST OF TABLES

ES-1	Summary of Potential Environmental Impacts, Mitigation Measures, and Significance	vi
1-1	Madera Site Parcels	1-2
1-2	Potential Permits and Approvals Required	1-14
2-1	Alternative A – Proposed Project Components	2-5
2-2	Tribal-State Compact	2-32
2-3	Alternative B – Reduced Intensity Components	2-40
2-4	Alternative C – Retail Components	2-46
2-5	Alternative D – North Fork Location Components	2-58
2-6	North Ranch Property – Parcels	2-77

3.2-1	Modified Mercalli Intensity Scale.....	3.2-5
3.2-2	Soil Limitations.....	3.2-8
3.3-1	Water Quality Objectives for Inland Surface Waters of the Sacramento-San Joaquin River Basin.....	3.3-13
3.3-2	Water Quality Objectives for Ground Waters of the Sacramento-San Joaquin River Basin.....	3.3-15
3.3-3	City of Madera Source Water Assessment	3.3-17
3.4-1	Ambient Air Quality Standards	3.4-8
3.4-2	Madera County NAAQS Attainment Status	3.4-9
3.4-3	Madera County CAAQS Attainment Status	3.4-10
3.4-4	Sources and Potential Health Effects of Major Indoor Air Pollutants.....	3.4-23
3.4-5	Global Greenhouse Gas Emissions.....	3.4-26
3.4-6	Madera County 2004 Annual Emissions in Tons per Day and Tons per Year	3.4-27
3.4-7	Air Monitoring Results	3.4-32
3.5-1	Summary of Vegetation Communities of the Madera Site	3.5-2
3.5-2	Summary of Vegetation Communities of the North Fork Site	3.5-6
3.5-3	Target Special-Status Species List: Madera Site.	3.5-21
3.5-4	Target Special-Status Species List: North Fork Site.....	3.5-25
3.5-5	Waters of the U.S. Acreage Estimate – Madera Site	3.5-30
3.5-6	Waters of the U.S. Acreage Estimate – North Fork Site.....	3.5-30
3.6-1	Resources Identified Within the North Fork Site.....	3.6-14
3.7-1	Regional Population.....	3.7-1
3.7-2	2005 Regional Housing Estimates	3.7-2
3.7-3	Historical Vacancy Rates.....	3.7-2
3.7-4	Household Income Data by Census Tract – Madera Site and Vicinity.....	3.7-3
3.7-5	Household Income Data by Census Tract – North Fork Site and Vicinity	3.7-4
3.7-6	Minority Population by Census Tract – Madera Site and Vicinity.....	3.7-9
3.7-7	Minority Population by Census Tract – North Fork Site and Vicinity	3.7-10
3.8-1	Intersection Level of Service Description.....	3.8-6
3.8-2	Freeway Level of Service Description.....	3.8-7
3.8-3	Segment Data Collection Period (Madera Site).....	3.8-11
3.8-4	Intersection Data Collection Period (Madera Site).....	3.8-11
3.8-5	Existing Freeway and Roadway Segment Performance – Madera Site.....	3.8-13
3.8-6	Existing Intersection Performance – Madera Site.....	3.8-14
3.8-7	Intersection Data Collection Period – North Fork Site	3.8-21
3.8-8	Existing Intersection Performance – North Fork Site.....	3.8-23
3.8-9	Madera County General Plan Applicable Goals and Policies.....	3.8-26
3.8-10	Madera County Airport Land Use Plan – Supporting Compatibility Criteria	3.8-39
3.8-11	Madera County Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan – Development Criteria.....	3.8-41
3.8-12	Census of Agriculture Statistics for Madera County	3.8-45
3.8-13	Top Ten Crops in Madera County	3.8-45
3.8-14	Storie Index Rating	3.8-46
3.9-1	Staffing Levels of Fire Stations	3.9-10
3.9-2	Madera Sheriff-Coroner Summary of Services Rendered in 2003	3.9-11
3.9-3	2003-2004 School Information for Madera Unified School District	3.9-17
3.10-1	Acoustical Terminology.....	3.10-2
3.10-2	Typical A-Weighted Sound Levels of Common Noise Sources.....	3.10-2

3.10-3	Databases Searched in Site Assessment.....	3.10-8
3.10-4	Madera Site: Off-Site Hazardous Materials.....	3.10-9
3.10-5	North Fork Site: Off-Site Hazardous Materials	3.10-11
4.3-1	Estimated Stormwater Quality – Alternative A	4.3-5
4.3-2	Typical Casino Effluent Wastewater Quality	4.3-6
4.3-3	Summary of Title 22 Treatment Requirements for Recycled Water	4.3-7
4.4-1	Construction-Related Emissions	4.4-9
4.4-2	Operational Emissions: San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District Thresholds.....	4.4-10
4.4-3	Operational Emissions: Applicability of Federal Conformity Regulations	4.4-11
4.5-1	Anticipated Effects to Habitat Types –Alternative A	4.5-1
4.5-2	Anticipated Effects to Habitat Types –Alternative B	4.5-4
4.5-3	Anticipated Effects to Habitat Types –Alternative C	4.5-6
4.5-4	Anticipated Effects to Habitat Types –Alternative D	4.5-8
4.5-5	Anticipated Effects to Elderberry Bushes – Alternative D	4.5-10
4.5-6	Anticipated Direct Effects to Waters of the U.S. – Alternative D	4.5-12
4.7-1	Construction Costs – Alternative A	4.7-2
4.7-2	Operation Impact on Employment – Alternative A	4.7-3
4.7-3	New Residents in Madera County – Alternative A.....	4.7-6
4.7-4	Comparative Casinos	4.7-6
4.7-5	Trends in State Equalized Valuation – Casino Counties (1997-2003)	4.7-10
4.7-6	Fire Personnel Costs – Alternative A.....	4.7-12
4.7-7	Law Enforcement Personnel Costs – Alternative A	4.7-13
4.7-8	Behavioral Health Services Personnel Costs – Alternative A	4.7-15
4.7-9	Per Capita Cost of County Services – Alternative A.....	4.7-16
4.7-10	Per Capita Cost of City of Madera Services – Alternative A	4.7-17
4.7-11	Madera County Memorandum of Understanding Revenue	4.7-18
4.7-12	City of Madera Memorandum of Understanding Revenue.....	4.7-19
4.7-13	Property Tax Losses – Alternative A.....	4.7-20
4.7-14	Sales and use Tax Revenue – Alternative A.....	4.7-20
4.7-15	Comparison of One-Time Madera County Costs and Revenues – Alternative A	4.7-21
4.7-16	Comparison of Madera County Annual Costs and Revenues – Alternative A	4.7-22
4.7-17	Comparison of City of Madera Annual Costs and Revenues – Alternative A.....	4.7-22
4.7-18	Operation Impact on Employment – Alternative B	4.7-26
4.7-19	New Residents in Madera County – Alternative B.....	4.7-27
4.7-20	Fire Personnel Costs – Alternative B.....	4.7-29
4.7-21	Law Enforcement Personnel Costs – Alternative B.....	4.7-30
4.7-22	Behavioral Health Services Personnel Costs – Alternative B.....	4.7-31
4.7-23	Per Capita Cost of County Services – Alternative B	4.7-31
4.7-24	Per Capita Cost of City of Madera Services – Alternative B.....	4.7-32
4.7-25	Sales and use Tax Revenue – Alternative B	4.7-32
4.7-26	Comparison of One-Time Madera County Costs and Revenues – Alternative B.....	4.7-34
4.7-27	Comparison of Madera County Annual Costs and Revenues – Alternative B	4.7-34
4.7-28	Comparison of City of Madera Annual Costs and Revenues – Alternative B.....	4.7-35
4.7-29	Operation Impact on Employment – Alternative C	4.7-37
4.7-30	New Residents in Madera County – Alternative C.....	4.7-39
4.7-31	Fire Personnel Costs – Alternative C.....	4.7-41

4.7-32	Law Enforcement Personnel Costs – Alternative C.....	4.7-41
4.7-33	Per Capita Cost of County Services – Alternative C	4.7-43
4.7-34	Per Capita Cost of City of Madera Services – Alternative C.....	4.7-44
4.7-35	Sales and use Tax Revenue – Alternative C	4.7-46
4.7-36	Comparison of One-Time Madera County Costs and Revenues – Alternative C.....	4.7-46
4.7-37	Comparison of Madera County Annual Costs and Revenues – Alternative C	4.7-46
4.7-38	Comparison of City of Madera Annual Costs and Revenues – Alternative C.....	4.7-46
4.7-39	Operation Impact on Employment – Alternative D	4.7-49
4.7-40	New Residents in Madera County – Alternative D.....	4.7-51
4.7-41	Fire Personnel Costs – Alternative D.....	4.7-53
4.7-42	Law Enforcement Personnel Costs – Alternative D	4.7-53
4.7-43	Behavioral Health Services Personnel Costs – Alternative D	4.7-54
4.7-44	Per Capita Cost of County Services – Alternative D.....	4.7-55
4.7-45	Per Capita Cost of City of Madera Services – Alternative D	4.7-56
4.7-46	Sales and Use Tax Revenue – Alternative D	4.7-57
4.7-47	Comparison of One-Time Madera County Costs and Revenues – Alternative D	4.7-57
4.7-48	Comparison of Madera County Annual Costs and Revenues – Alternative D.....	4.7-58
4.7-49	Comparison of City of Madera Annual Costs and Revenues – Alternative D.....	4.7-59
4.8-1	Project Trip Rate and Directional Distribution (Casino and Hotel Land Uses).....	4.8-2
4.8-2	Project Trip Rate and Directional Distribution (Alternative C Land Uses).....	4.8-3
4.8-3	Freeway and Roadway Segment Performance – 2010 without Project (Madera Site)	4.8-7
4.8-4	Intersection Performance – 2010 without Project (Madera Site).....	4.8-7
4.8-5	Intersection Operations – 2010 without Project (North Fork Site)	4.8-12
4.8-6	Project Trip Generation – Alternative A.....	4.8-14
4.8-7	Freeway and Roadway Segment Performance – 2010 with Alternative A.....	4.8-15
4.8-8	Intersection Operations – 2010 with Alternative A	4.8-16
4.8-9	Madera County General Plan Land Use Consistency	4.8-26
4.8-10	Project Trip Generation – Alternative B	4.8-44
4.8-11	Freeway and Roadway Segment Performance – 2010 with Alternative B	4.8-48
4.8-12	Peak Hour Intersection Conditions – 2010 with Alternative B.....	4.8-49
4.8-13	Project Trip Generation – Alternative C	4.8-57
4.8-14	Freeway and Roadway Segment Performance – 2010 with Alternative C	4.8-61
4.8-15	Intersection Operations – 2010 with Alternative C	4.8-62
4.8-16	Project Trip Generation – Alternative D.....	4.8-69
4.8-17	Intersection Operations – 2010 with Alternative D	4.8-70
4.9-1	Estimated Water Demands without Recycled Water (GPD) – Alternative A	4.9-1
4.9-2	Estimated Water Demands with Recycled Water (GPD) – Alternative A.....	4.9-1
4.9-3	Domestic Water Storage Requirements with Recycled Water – Alternative A.....	4.9-3
4.9-4	Estimated Wastewater Flows for Alternative A	4.9-4
4.9-5	Design Wastewater Treatment Plant Flows – Alternative A	4.9-4
4.9-6	Solid Waste Disposal Estimate – Alternative A	4.9-6
4.9-7	Estimated Water Demands without Recycled Water (GPD) – Alternative B.....	4.9-11
4.9-8	Estimated Water Demands with Recycled Water (GPD) – Alternative B.....	4.9-11
4.9-9	Estimated Wastewater Flows for Alternative B.....	4.9-13
4.9-10	Design Wastewater Treatment Plant Flows – Alternative B	4.9-14
4.9-11	Solid Waste Disposal Estimate – Alternative B.....	4.9-15
4.9-12	Estimated Water Demands without Recycled Water (GPD) – Alternative C.....	4.9-18

4.9-13	Estimated Water Demands with Recycled Water (GPD) – Alternative C	4.9-18
4.9-14	Estimated Wastewater Flows for Alternative C	4.9-20
4.9-15	Design Wastewater Treatment Plant Flows – Alternative C	4.9-20
4.9-16	Solid Waste Disposal Estimate – Alternative C	4.9-21
4.9-17	Estimated Water Demands without Recycled Water (GPD) – Alternative D	4.9-25
4.9-18	Estimated Water Demands with Recycled Water (GPD) – Alternative D	4.9-26
4.9-19	Estimated Wastewater Flows for Alternative D	4.9-27
4.9-20	Design Wastewater Treatment Plant Flows – Alternative D	4.9-27
4.9-21	Solid Waste Disposal Estimate – Alternative D	4.9-28
4.10-1	Measures of Substantial Increase for Transportation Noise Exposure	4.10-2
4.10-2	FHWA Noise Abatement Criteria	4.10-3
4.10-3	Typical Construction Equipment Noise Levels	4.10-3
4.10-4	Noise Levels Generated by Parking Lot Noise Activities	4.10-4
4.10-5	Alternative A – Predicted Noise Levels for Year 2008 (dBA)	4.10-5
4.10-6	Alternative B – Predicted Noise Levels for Year 2008 (dBA)	4.10-11
4.10-7	Alternative C – Predicted Noise Levels for Year 2008 (dBA)	4.10-13
4.10-8	Alternative D – Predicted Noise Levels for Year 2008 (dBA)	4.10-15
4.11-1	Projected Growth Surrounding the Madera Site	4.11-4
4.11-2	Projected Growth Surrounding the North Fork Site	4.11-5
4.11-3	Planned Residential Development Within the City of Madera	4.11-14
4.11-4	Planned Residential Development Within Madera County	4.11-15
4.11-5	Regional Emissions Trends	4.11-18
4.11-6	Long Term Emissions in Tons per Day as a Percent of County 2020 Total	4.11-19
4.11-7	2020 Unmitigated Emissions in Tons per Year Compared to SJVAPCD Thresholds	4.11-20
4.11-8	Greenhouse Gas CO ₂ Equivalent	4.11-22
4.11-9	Estimated Alternative A Project Operation GHG Emissions	4.11-23
4.11-10	Compliance with State Emissions Reduction Strategies	4.11-24
4.11-11	Freeway and Roadway Segment Performance - 2030 without Project (Madera Site)	4.11-30
4.11-12	Peak Hour Intersection Conditions - 2030 without Project (Madera Site)	4.11-33
4.11-13	Freeway and Roadway Segment Performance – 2030 with Alternative A	4.11-37
4.11-14	Peak Hour Intersection Conditions - 2030 With Alternative A	4.11-38
4.11-15	Projected Flows for the City of Madera WWTP	4.11-43
4.11-16	Alternative A Predicted Noise Levels for Year 2030 Conditions	4.11-46
4.11-17	Estimated Alternative B Operation GHG Emissions	4.11-49
4.11-18	Freeway and Roadway Segment Performance – 2030 With Alternative B	4.11-53
4.11-19	Peak Hour Intersection Conditions - 2030 With Alternative B	4.11-54
4.11-20	Alternative B Predicted Noise Levels for Year 2030 Conditions	4.11-59
4.11-21	Estimated Project Operation GHG Emissions	4.11-61
4.11-22	Freeway and Roadway Segment Performance – 2030 with Alternative C	4.11-66
4.11-23	Peak Hour Intersection Conditions - 2030 With Alternative C	4.11-67
4.11-24	Alternative C Predicted Noise Levels for Year 2030 Conditions	4.11-71
4.11-25	Estimated Operation GHG Emissions	4.11-74
4.11-26	Intersection Performance in the Vicinity of the North Fork Site - 2030	4.11-77

4.11-27 Peak Hour Intersection Conditions - 2030 With Alternative D	4.11-80
4.11-28 Alternative D Predicted Noise Levels for Year 2030 Conditions	4.11-83
4.12-1 Residential Housing Units – Madera County	4.12-2
4.12-2 Vacant Industrial Development –Madera County	4.12-5
5-1 Operational Mitigated Emissions and Significance	5-14
5-2 Compliance with State Emissions Reduction Strategies.....	5-18
5-3 Elderberry Impact Minimization Ratios	5-23
5-4 Mitigated Intersection and Road Segment Performance – Alternative A.....	5-29
5-5 Mitigated Intersection and Road Segment Performance – Alternative B.....	5-31
5-6 Mitigated Intersection and Road Segment Performance – Alternative C.....	5-34
5-7 Mitigated Intersection and Road Segment Performance – Alternative D.....	5-38

LIST OF FIGURES

1-1 Regional Location Map.....	1-3
1-2 Madera Site – Site and Vicinity Map.....	1-4
1-3 Madera Site – Aerial Site Map.....	1-5
1-4 Madera Site – Parcel Map.....	1-6
1-5 North Fork Site – Site and Vicinity Map	1-7
1-6 North Fork Site – Aerial Site Map	1-8
2-1 Alternative A – Site Plan	2-3
2-2 Alternative A – Architectural Rendition.....	2-4
2-3 Alternative A – Preliminary Grading Plan.....	2-8
2-4 Alternative A – Overland Drainage Flow	2-9
2-5 Alternatives A-C – Stormwater Storage	2-10
2-6 Alternatives A-C – Stormwater Detention Basins Preliminary Grading Plan	2-11
2-7 Alternatives A-C – Off-Site City Sewer Connection Options	2-13
2-8 Alternative A – On-Site Treated Effluent Discharge Options	2-15
2-9 Alternatives A-C – Off-Site Water and Recycled Water Options	2-18
2-10 Alternative B – Site Plan.....	2-39
2-11 Alternative B – Preliminary Grading Plan.....	2-41
2-12 Alternative B – Overland Drainage Flow	2-42
2-13 Alternative B – On Site Treated Effluent Discharge Options.....	2-44
2-14 Alternative C – Site Plan.....	2-49
2-15 Alternative C – Architectural Rendition	2-50
2-16 Alternative C – Preliminary Grading Plan.....	2-51
2-17 Alternative C – Overland Drainage Flow	2-52
2-18 Alternative C – On-Site Treated Effluent Discharge Options	2-53
2-19 Alternative D – Site Plan	2-56
2-20 Alternative D – Architectural Rendition.....	2-57
2-21 Alternative D – Preliminary Grading Plan.....	2-59
2-22 Alternative D – Overland Drainage Flow	2-61
2-23 Alternative D – Stormwater Storage.....	2-62
2-24 Alternative D – Municipal Water/Wastewater Options	2-63
2-25 Alternative D – On-Site Treated Effluent Discharge Options	2-64

2-26	HUD Tract – Land Use Plan	2-70
2-27	Alternative Sites Considered.....	2-74
2-28	North Ranch Property – Regional Location Map	2-78
2-29	North Ranch Property – Williamson Act Parcels	2-79
3.2-1	Central California Geological Profile	3.2-2
3.2-2	Madera County Topography – Color Shaded Relief	3.2-3
3.2-3	Madera Site Soils	3.2-9
3.2-4	Madera County Seismic Hazard Map	3.2-11
3.2-5	North Fork Soils.....	3.2-12
3.3-1	Fresno River Watershed Map	3.3-3
3.3-2	Madera Site FEMA Flood Zone Map	3.3-5
3.3-3	Upper San Joaquin Watershed Map.....	3.3-6
3.4-1	Relative sizes of particulate matter pollution	3.4-20
3.4-2	Particulate matter concentrations in Merced in 2002.....	3.4-21
3.5-1	Habitat Map – Madera Site	3.5-3
3.5-2	Site Photographs – Madera Site	3.5-4
3.5-3	Site Photographs – Madera Site	3.5-5
3.5-4	Habitat Map – North Fork Site	3.5-7
3.5-5	Site Photographs – North Fork Site	3.5-8
3.5-6	Special Status Species Found Within Five Miles of Madera Site.....	3.5-17
3.5-7	Special Status Species Found Within Five Miles of North Fork Site	3.5-19
3.5-8	Waters of the U.S. – Madera Site	3.5-29
3.5-9	Waters of the U.S. – North Fork Site.....	3.5-31
3.6-1	Site Photographs	3.6-10
3.7-1	Census Tract Map – Madera Site.....	3.7-7
3.7-2	Census Tract Map – North Fork Site and Vicinity	3.7-8
3.8-1	Madera Site – Major Roadways and Study Intersections	3.8-2
3.8-2	Madera Site – Major Roadways and Study Intersections	3.8-3
3.8-3	Madera Site – Existing Lane Configuration and Intersection Control.....	3.8-9
3.8-4	Madera Site – Existing Lane Configuration and Intersection Control.....	3.8-10
3.8-5	Madera Site – Existing Intersection Volumes.....	3.8-16
3.8-6	Madera Site – Existing Intersection Volumes.....	3.8-17
3.8-7	North Fork Site – Major Roadways and Study Intersections.....	3.8-19
3.8-8	North Fork Site – Existing Lane Configuration and Intersection Control	3.8-22
3.8-9	North Fork Site – Existing Intersection Volumes	3.8-24
3.8-10	Madera Site General Plan – Alternatives A, B, and C.....	3.8-37
3.8-11	Madera Site Zoning Map – Alternatives A, B, and C.....	3.8-38
3.8-12	Madera County Municipal Airport Compatibility Zones.....	3.8-42
3.8-13	Madera Municipal Airport Height Restriction Zones	3.8-43
3.8-14	Williamson Act and Farmland Security Zone Parcels	3.8-48
3.8-15	FMMP Map.....	3.8-49
3.9-1	Location of Shallow Wells – Madera Site and Vicinity	3.9-3
3.9-2	Location of Deep Wells – Madera Site and Vicinity	3.9-4
3.9-3	Well Locations – North Fork Site and Vicinity	3.9-5
3.9-4	Fire Station Locations	3.9-9
3.9-5	Police Station Locations	3.9-12
4.5-1	Impacts to Elderberry Longhorn Beetle Habitat	4.5-11

4.8-1	Madera Site – 2010 Lane Configuration and Intersection Control	4.8-5
4.8-2	Madera Site– 2010 Lane Configuration and Intersection Control	4.8-6
4.8-3	Madera Site – 2010 Intersection Volumes.....	4.8-10
4.8-4	Madera Site – 2010 Intersection Volumes.....	4.8-11
4.8-5	North Fork Site – 2010 Intersection Volumes	4.8-13
4.8-6	Madera Site – Trip Distribution Percentages With Alternative A	4.8-18
4.8-7	Madera Site – Intersection Trip Assignment With Alternative A.....	4.8-19
4.8-8	Madera Site – Intersection Trip Assignment With Alternative A.....	4.8-20
4.8-9	Madera Site – 2010 Intersection Volumes With Alternative A	4.8-23
4.8-10	Madera Site – 2010 Intersection Volumes With Alternative A	4.8-24
4.8-11	Impact to Agricultural Soils.....	4.8-42
4.8-12	Madera Site – Trip Distribution Percentages With Alternative B	4.8-45
4.8-13	Madera Site – Intersection Trip Assignment With Alternative B.....	4.8-46
4.8-14	Madera Site – Intersection Trip Assignment With Alternative B.....	4.8-47
4.8-15	Madera Site – 2010 Intersection Volumes With Alternative B	4.8-53
4.8-16	Madera Site – 2010 Intersection Volumes With Alternative B	4.8-54
4.8-17	Madera Site – Trip Distribution Percentages With Alternative C	4.8-58
4.8-18	Madera Site – Intersection Trip Assignment With Alternative C.....	4.8-59
4.8-19	Madera Site – Intersection Trip Assignment With Alternative C.....	4.8-60
4.8-20	Madera Site – 2010 Intersection Volumes With Alternative C	4.8-66
4.8-21	Madera Site – 2010 Intersection Volumes With Alternative C	4.8-67
4.8-22	North Fork Site – Trip Distribution Percentages With Alternative D	4.8-71
4.8-23	North Fork Site – Intersection Trip Assignment With Alternative D.....	4.8-72
4.8-24	North Fork Site – 2010 Intersection Volumes With Alternative D	4.8-75
4.11-1	Project Area Traffic Analysis Zones.....	4.11-3
4.11-2	Bratton Project Site Plan.....	4.11-7
4.11-3	Madera Outlet Mall Site Plan	4.11-8
4.11-4	48-Acre Commercial Development Site Plan.....	4.11-9
4.11-5	Madera Town Center Site Plan.....	4.11-10
4.11-6	Madera Fairgrounds Commercial Project Location.....	4.11-12
4.11-7	Planned Development in Madera County and the City of Madera	4.11-13
4.11-8	Madera Site – 2030 Lane Configuration and Intersection Control	4.11-28
4.11-9	Madera Site – 2030 Lane Configuration and Intersection Control	4.11-29
4.11-10	Madera Site – 2030 Intersection Volumes.....	4.11-31
4.11-11	Madera Site – 2030 Intersection Volumes.....	4.11-32
4.11-12	Madera Site – 2030 Lane Configuration and Intersection Control With Alternative A.....	4.11-35
4.11-13	Madera Site – 2030 Lane Configuration and Intersection Control With Alternative A.....	4.11-36
4.11-14	Madera Site – 2030 Intersection Volumes with Alternative A	4.11-40
4.11-15	Madera Site – 2030 Intersection Volumes with Alternative A.....	4.11-41
4.11-16	Madera Site – 2030 Lane Configuration and Intersection Control with Alternative B.....	4.11-51
4.11-17	Madera Site – 2030 Lane Configuration and Intersection Control with Alternative B.....	4.11-52
4.11-18	Madera Site – 2030 Intersection Volumes with Alternative B	4.11-57
4.11-19	Madera Site – 2030 Intersection Volumes with Alternative B	4.11-58

4.11-20 Madera Site – 2030 Lane Configuration and Intersection Control with Alternative C.....	4.11-64
4.11-21 Madera Site – 2030 Lane Configuration and Intersection Control with Alternative C.....	4.11-65
4.11-22 Madera Site – 2030 Intersection Volumes with Alternative C	4.11-69
4.11-23 Madera Site – 2030 Intersection Volumes with Alternative C	4.11-70
4.11-24 North Fork Site – 2030 Lane Configuration and Intersection Control with Alternative D.....	4.11-78
4.11-25 North Fork Site – 2030 Intersection Volumes	4.11-79
4.11-26 North Fork Site – 2030 Intersection Volumes with Alternative D	4.11-82
4.12-1 Intersections in the Vicinity of the Madera Site	4.12-7
4.12-2 Madera Site – Aerial Intersections.....	4.12-8
4.12-3 Intersections in the Vicinity of the North Fork Site.....	4.12-9
4.12-4 North Fork Site – Aerial Intersections	4.12-10
5-1 Madera Site – 2010 Lane Configuration and Intersection Control With Alternative A Mitigation.....	5-39
5-2 Madera Site – 2010 Lane Configuration and Intersection Control With Alternative A Mitigation.....	5-40
5-3 Madera Site – 2010 Lane Configuration and Intersection Control With Alternative B Mitigation	5-41
5-4 Madera Site – 2010 Lane Configuration and Intersection Control With Alternative B Mitigation	5-42
5-5 Madera Site – 2010 Lane Configuration and Intersection Control With Alternative C Mitigation.....	5-43
5-6 Madera Site – 2010 Lane Configuration and Intersection Control With Alternative C Mitigation	5-44
5-7 North Fork Site –2010 Lane Configuration and Intersection Control With Alternative D Mitigation.....	5-45
5-8 Madera Site – 2030 Lane Configuration and Intersection Control With Alternative A Mitigation.....	5-46
5-9 Madera Site – 2030 Lane Configuration and Intersection Control With Alternative A Mitigation.....	5-47
5-10 Madera Site – 2030 Lane Configuration and Intersection Control With Alternative B Mitigation	5-48
5-11 Madera Site – 2030 Lane Configuration and Intersection Control With Alternative B Mitigation	5-49
5-12 Madera Site – 2030 Lane Configuration and Intersection Control With Alternative C Mitigation	5-50
5-13 Madera Site – 2030 Lane Configuration and Intersection Control With Alternative C Mitigation	5-51
5-14 North Fork Site – 2030 Lane Configuration and Intersection Control With Alternative D Mitigation.....	5-52

APPENDICES

VOLUME I

Appendix A	Cooperating Agency Correspondence
Appendix B	Updated Public Notices
Appendix C	Memoranda of Understanding
Appendix D	California Natural Diversity Data Base, U. S. Fish & Wildlife Service, and California Native Plant Society Lists: Madera Site
Appendix E	Biological Constraints Analysis: Madera Site
Appendix F	USACE Correspondence Letter and Identification of Waters of the U.S.: Madera Site
Appendix G	California Natural Diversity Data Base, U. S. Fish & Wildlife Service, and California Native Plant Society Lists: North Fork Site
Appendix H	Biotic Assessment: North Fork Site
Appendix I	Revised North Fork Water and Wastewater Feasibility Study
Appendix J	SHPO Concurrence Letter and Cultural Resources Reports (Bound Under Separate Cover)
Appendix K	Site Grading and Storm Drainage Study
Appendix L	Revised Groundwater Study
Appendix M	Updated Traffic Impact Study

VOLUME II

Appendix N	Updated Traffic Impact Study Attachments
------------	--

VOLUME III

Appendix O	Updated Environmental Noise Assessment
Appendix P	Environmental Site Assessments
Appendix Q	NRCS Consultation
Appendix R	Socioeconomic Assessment
Appendix S	Revised Air Quality Technical Appendix
Appendix T	Construction Emissions Mitigation Plan
Appendix U	Madera County Resolution in Support of Proposed Project
Appendix V	Federal Aviation Administration “Determination of No Hazard to Air Navigation”
Appendix W	CAT and CARB GHG Strategy and Early Action Measures Reports
Appendix X	Tribal State Gaming Compacts <ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ <u>Tribal-State Compact Between the State of California and the North Fork Rancheria of Mono Indians of California</u>▪ <u>Tribal-State Compact Between the State of California and the Wiyot Tribe</u>

VOLUME III

Appendix Y	Draft EIS Comments and Responses
Appendix Z	Mitigation Monitoring and Enforcement Plan

Appendix AA Paleontological Resource Assessment
Appendix BB Sewer Alignment and Capacity Analysis